NASA Earth Science Enterprise Earth Science Applications Directorate Food and Fiber Applications of Remote Sensing Program

Final Report:

Regional Change Monitoring of Habitat Reserve Systems with Very High Resolution Remotely Sensed Data

Project conducted by:
Department of Geography
San Diego State University
5500 Campanile Drive
San Diego, CA 92025-4493

Report prepared by: Lloyd Coulter, Project Manager Douglas Stow, Principal Investigator

Contributors to Report:

Allen Hope, John O'Leary, and Janet Franklin, Co-Investigators
Aaron Johnson, Elizabeth Witztum, Aaron Petersen, Pauline Longmire,
Alys Wall, and John Rogan, Graduate Assistants
Edward Almanza, Consultant

Report prepared for:
Earth Science Applications Directorate
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
John C. Stennis Space Center, MS 39529

January 28, 2003

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	xi
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Background	1
2.1 Project Strategy	
2.2 Applications Overview	
2.2.1 Characteristics of Habitats and Disturbances in Southern California	т <i>1</i>
2.2.2 Scene Model and Plant Phenologies	
2.3 Study Areas	
2.4 Data	Ω
3.0 Partnerships	
4.0 Image Pre-Processing.	
4.1 Radiometric Processing	11
4.1.1 Anisotropic Reflectance Correction	1 I
4.1.2 Topographic Normalization of High Spatial Resolution ADAR 5500 Imagery	14
4.1.2.1 Background	14
4.1.2.2 Data and Methods	15
4.1.2.3 Results and Conclusions	
4.1.3 Multitemporal Radiometric Normalization	
4.1.3.1 Methods	
4.1.3.2 Results	19
4.1.3.3 Conclusions	25
4.1.4 Normalization of Plant Phenology between Image Acquisitions	25
4.I.4.1 Overview	
4.1.4.2 Empirical Comparisons	
4.1.4.3 Fourier Analysis	
4.1.4.4 Conclusions	37
4.1.5 Intra-annual Reflectance Characteristics of Coastal Sage Scrub Plants	37
4.1.5.1 Image Processing	
4.1.5.2 Results	39
4.1.5.3 Discussion and Conclusions	42
4.2 Geometric Processing	
4.2.1 Frame Center Matching Approach for Registration of Airborne Imagery	
4.2.1.1 Methods	
4.2.1.2 Results	51
4.2.1.3 Discussion and Conclusions	54
4.2.2 IKONOS Spatial Registration	56
5.0 Mapping Surface Features and Cover Fractions	57
5.1 Delineation of Recreational Trails	
5.1.1 ADAR Multispectral (1 m) Data.	
5.1.2 Detection of Trails within 0.5 m, 0.25 m, and 0.13 m Resolution Imagery	-
,,	

5.1.3 Detection of Trails within 4 m Resolution IKONOS imagery	62
5.2 Estimation of Bare Ground Fraction as an Indicator of Habitat Condition	62
5.2.1 General Approach	63
5.2.2 Methods	63
5.2.3 Results	65
5.2.4 Summary and Recommendations	72
5.3 Estimation of Shrub Fraction as an Indicator of Habitat Quality	72
5.3.1 Introduction	72
5.3.2 Methods.	73
5.3.3 Results	74
5.3.4 Discussion and Conclusions	76
6.0 Per-Pixel Change Detection Processing.	78
6.1 Automated Change Detection Approaches	
6.2 Change Detection Image Inputs	
6.3 Change Vector Classification	
6.4 Post-Classification Image Smoothing	
6.5 Limitations and Errors in Image-Based Change Detection	
6.6 Habitat and Land Cover Change Detection Results	
6.6.1 Change Detection at Los Penasquitos Canyon Preserve with ADAR 5500 Imagery	
6.6.2 Change Detection at Mission Trails Regional Park with ADAR 5500 Imagery	
6.6.3 Semi-Automated and Manual Mapping of Land Cover Change with IKONOS	
Multispectral Images	
6.6.4 Utility of ADAR and IKONOS Imagery for Change Detection	95
6.6.5 Validation and Labeling of IKONOS Change Product with 1m ADAR Imagery	
6.6.6 Utility and Implications of Image-Based Change Detection to Habitat Managers	
7.0 Operational Potential	100
7.1 Prototype Monitoring System	
7.2 Specifications for Image-Based Habitat Monitoring	
7.2.1 Entire NCCP	101
7.2.2 Sub-Regional Planning Areas	
7.2.3 Individual Reserves	
7.3 Examples of Operational Implementation	
7.4 Developing a Fully Operational Remote Sensing-Based Monitoring System	
8.0 Outreach	
9.0 Conclusions and Recommendations	
9.1 Conclusions	
9.2 Recommendations	108
10.0 Publications and Presentations from FFARS Research	110
10.1 Refereed Journal Papers	
10.2 Papers Published in Symposium Proceedings	
10.3 Manuscripts Submitted and in Preparation	
10.4 Theses and Dissertations	

10.5 Professional Conference Presentations
11.0 References Cited
12.0 Acknowledgments115
Appendix A. Anisotropic Reflectance Normalization: Initial Approach
Appendix B. Anisotropic Reflectance Normalization: Refined Approach
**
Appendix C. Assessment of Georeferencing/Mosaicking Capabilities of DIME Compared to Orthobase
Appendix D. A Frame Center Matching Technique for Precise Registration of Multitemporal Airborne Frame Imagery: Methods and Software Approaches
Appendix E. Land-Cover Changes in Penasquitos Reserve
Tables
Table 1. Fourier outputs summarized by vegetation type
Table 2. Hypothesized rankings of NDVI mean, amplitude, and phase for the three vegetation community types.
Table 3. Results of one-tailed paired t-tests for differences in means35
Table 4. Results of trail feature extraction for each site
Table 5. Spectral vegetation indices used in regression analysis
Table 6. Results of linear regression analysis
Table 7. Outliers for regression model (% Bare Ground vs. Red Band data), separated into two groups: A (above regression line) and B (below regression line). Note: Not all plots sum to 100% because dead shrubs and non-vascular plants were not included in calculations.
Table 8. Descriptive statistics for percent bare ground in high and low recreated areas, n=150 for each category
Table 9. Results of the stepwise multiple regression analyses where percent shrub cover values (dependent variable) were regressed on various image metrics (independent variables) using series 1 and series 2 plots
Table 10. Specifications for image-based monitoring of NCCP region101
Table 11. Specifications for image-based monitoring of subregional planning areas102
Table 12. Specifications for image-based monitoring of individual habitat preserves103

Figures

Figure 1. Natural Community Conservation Planning (NCCP) Region2
Figure 2. Study sites within the extent of the Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) in western San Diego County, California
Figure 3. Coastal sage scrub habitat within Mission Trails Regional Park7
Figure 4. Disturbance from a) mechanical clearing and b) Biking-Motocross (BMX) within Mission Trails Regional Park
Figure 5. Correction of anisotropic reflectance and backscatter hot spot within ADAR 5500 image frames and resulting effect on difference image change detection products
Figure 6. ADAR 5500 image frame a) before and b) after correction of within-frame anistropic reflectance.
Figure 7. ADAR 5500 image mosaic a) before and b) after correction of between- frame radiometric differences resulting from anistropic reflectance
Figure 8. Terrain correction input image layers: a) elevation, b) slope, c) aspect, and d) cosine of the incidence angle. The dashed-line box illustrates the study area extent
Figure 9. ADAR 5500 imagery before a) and after b) terrain normalization using Lambertian model with products from a 10 m spatial resolution digital elevation model17
Figure 10. ADAR 5500 imagery before a) and after b) terrain normalization using Lambertian model with products from a 5 m spatial resolution digital elevation model
Figure 11. Radiometrically normalized 2001 ADAR 5500 image mosaics and 2000 radiometric reference mosaic. Pseudo-invariant feature and histogram matching radiometric normalization techniques were compared. Display is false color infrared. Each mosaic is displayed using the same contrast stretch
Figure 12. Blue, green, red, and near-infrared waveband histogram from original 2001 mosaic, pseudo-invariant feature normalized 2001 mosaic, histogram matching normalized 2001 mosaic, and 2000 radiometric reference mosaic
Figure 13. Effect of multidate normalization technique on difference image histograms. The Difference image histograms were derived by subtracting a 2000 ADAR 5500 image mosaic from 2001 ADAR 5500 image mosaics which were radiometrically normalized to the 2000 imagery using a pseudo-invariant feature approach and a histogram matching approach.
Figure 14. Effect of multidate normalization technique on difference image threshold classification. Change pixels within the red waveband difference images were classified based upon the following ranges of standard deviations (σ) from the difference image mean: >1-1.5 σ (low), >1.5-2 σ (mid), and >2 σ (high)
Figure 15. Monthly precipitation totals (1988 to 1999)26

Figure 16. Coastal sage scrub, chaparral, and grass NDVI (1990 to 1999).	.27
Figure 17. Coastal sage scrub minus grass NDVI differences (1990 to 1999).	.28
Figure 18. Coastal sage scrub minus chaparral NDVI differences (1990 to 1999)	.28
Figure 19. Period of maximum NDVI difference. Absolute differences between coastal sage scrub and grass and coastal sage scrub and chaparral were computed.	.29
Figure 20. Coastal sage scrub NDVI normalized by dividing site NDVI values by the global mean NDVI of the entire study area.	
Figure 21. Modeled grassland NDVI (first two Fourier terms) vs. observed (average year)	.30
Figure 22. Modeled coastal sage scrub NDVI (first two Fourier terms) vs. observed (average year).	.31
Figure 23. Modeled chaparral NDVI (first two Fourier terms) vs. observed (average year)	.3 I
Figure 24. Modeled coastal sage scrub NDVI (first three Fourier terms) vs. observed (average year).	.32
Figure 25. Period number for the first term from coastal sage scrub, chaparral, and grass vegetation types plotted against each other. CSS indicates coastal sage scrub	.34
Figure 26. Scatterplots of phase period number versus antecedent rainfall totals for coastal sage scrub, chaparral, and grass vegetation types. CSS indicates coastal sage scrub	.36
Figure 27. Spectral signatures plotted for 14 April 2001, using center values for each of the four spectral bands.	.40
Figure 28. Spectral signatures plotted for 11 May 2001, using center values for each of the four spectral bands.	.40
Figure 29. Spectral signatures plotted for 23 May 2001, using center values for each of the four spectral bands.	41
Figure 30. Spectral signatures plotted for 8 June 2001, using center values for each of the four spectral bands.	41
Figure 31. Average spectral reflectances for the blue band for all vegetated and non-vegetated samples for four dates.	
Figure 32. Average spectral reflectances for the green band for all vegetated and non- vegetated samples for four dates	43
Figure 33. Average spectral reflectances for the red band for all vegetated and non-vegetated samples for four dates.	44
Figure 34. Average spectral reflectances for the near-infrared band for all vegetated and non- vegetated samples for four dates.	44
Figure 35. Average calculated NDVI values for all vegetated and non-vegetated samples for four dates	45

Figure 36. Average calculated SARVI values for all vegetated and non-vegetated samples for four dates
Figure 37. DIME Error Graphed as a Function of Local Slope47
Figure 38. Orthobase Error Graphed as a Function of Local Slope
Figure 39. Position of frame center and non-frame center matched images relative to a registration base image
Figure 40. Effect of topographic displacement on the relative position of features within image frames acquired at different camera stations. Topographic displacement causes a change in the relative position of features A and B within multitemporal image frames acquired at camera stations L and L'. Diagram from Lillesand and Kiefer (1994)49
Figure 41. 3-D visualization of terrain at Mission Trails Regional Park study site. View perspective is from south to north
Figure 42. Average root mean square error (RMSE) of registration between frame center and non-frame center matched image sets registered using second order polynomial warping with DIME and Imagine and Orthorectification with Orthobase
Figure 43. Red waveband overlay composites of image frames registered with (a) non-frame center and (b) frame center matched registration approaches. Second-order polynomial warping was performed with DIME. The illustrated non-frame center composite (a) was created by graphically aligning and joining two non-frame center registered image sets, so that results for the same extent.
Figure 44. Change detection product derived from 1998 and 1999 frame center matched ADAR images registered using a second-order polynomial warping algorithm. The 1999 red waveband is displayed in the red color plane, while the 1998 waveband is displayed in the blue and green color planes
Figure 45. Effect of altitude difference between multitemporal acquisitions on frame center matched registration
Figure 46. Third principal component layer of multi-use area (1 m spatial resolution) with 7x7 edge enhancement filter utilized for trail feature extraction
Figure 47. Map depicting GPS reference and image derived trails at multi-use study area. PCA and 7x7 edge enhancement filter were applied to the imagery for trail feature extraction. Percentage of trails extracted was 79.92% at this multi-use area. The main trails >1 m were detected, but trails <1 m were detected only if the trail was composed of bare ground. The ranges of trail widths extracted were 0.20-3.25 m. The expanded box illustrates the assessment of image-derived trails relative to the epsilon envelope60
Figure 48. Red band image mosaic of Mission Trails Regional Park clipped to areas of coastal sage scrub habitat
Figure 49. Inverted linear regression model red band reflectance (ρ) vs. % bare ground (with data points on graph)67

Figure 50. Ground cover percentages for 3 m x 3 m ground sampling plots
Figure 51. Schematic depicting cover sampling issues for a typical CSS subshrub plant. Points A and B consist of bare ground cover. Point A, outside the canopy, would have been correctly counted as bare ground and Point C, directly under the canopy would have been correctly counted as shrub cover. Point B illustrates potential error, because bare ground is directly visible through the defined canopy but would be counted as subshrub cover.
Figure 52. Map of % bare ground in 10% interval classes (spatial resolution = 3 m) derived from regression model of bare ground % vs. red band ρ
Figure 53. Histograms of estimated % bare ground for 3 m pixels. a) high recreated polygons (n=150), b) low recreated polygons (n=150)
Figure 54. Relationship between SAVI values and percent shrub cover for the 18 series one sample plots.
Figure 55. Relationship between SAVI values and percent shrub cover for the 18 series two sample plots
Figure 56. Relationship between Red Band DN values and percent shrub cover values for the 18 series two sample plots
Figure 57. Change vector class locations within the red vs. near-infrared difference image feature-space
Figure 58. 1998 and 1999 ADAR 5500 image mosaics with change features annotated83
Figure 59. Color composite of 1998/1999 NDVI, red, and near-infrared difference images displayed in the red, blue, and green color planes, respectively. Change features are annotated
Figure 60. Change vector classification of 1998/1999 ADAR difference images. NPV indicates non-photosynthetic vegetation
Figure 61. Color composite of classified 1998/1999 green vegetation and soil fraction difference images. Change pixels were classified based upon the following ranges of standard deviations (σ) from the difference image mean: >1-1.5 σ (low), >1.5-2 σ (mid), and >2 σ (high). Red coloration indicates increase in vegetation cover, while cyan coloration indicates decrease in vegetation cover/increase in soil exposure. The input ADAR 5500 images (1 m) were aggregated to 4 m spatial resolution to simulate IKONOS imagery
Figure 62. ADAR 2000 to 2001 change vector classification. The red band of the 2001 ADAR image is displayed as a backdrop to the change vector classification. NPV indicates non-photosynthetic vegetation
Figure 63. Change vector classes in the red vs. near-infrared difference image feature space. The "no-change" pixels are masked and appear as black in the center of the feature space89

Figure 64. IKONOS change vector classification product for Poway East study site. The background image is the IKONOS red waveband. NPV indicates non-photosynthetic vegetation.
Figure 65. IKONOS manual classification product for Poway East study site. The background image is the IKONOS red waveband.
Figure 66. IKONOS manual and change vector classification products for a portion of the Poway East study site illustrating detection of riparian and grassland changes. The change product background image is the IKONOS red waveband. NPV indicates non-photosynthetic vegetation.
Figure 67. IKONOS manual and change vector classification products for a portion of the Poway East study site illustrating detection of fire recovery. The change product background image is the IKONOS red waveband. NPV indicates non-photosynthetic vegetation.
Figure 68. IKONOS change vector product illustrating detection of disturbance and grass clearing at the urban/reserve edge of the MTRP. The change product background image is the IKONOS red waveband. NPV indicates non-photosynthetic vegetation96
Figure 69. IKONOS change vector product illustrating detection of disturbance and grass clearing at the urban/reserve edge of the Poway East site. The change product background image is the IKONOS red waveband. NPV indicates non-photosynthetic vegetation96
Figure 70. IKONOS change vector product illustrating detection of stream channel scouring. The change product background image is the IKONOS red waveband. NPV indicates non-photosynthetic vegetation.
Figure 71. IKONOS change vector product illustrating detection of urban development and shrub clearing. The change product background image is the IKONOS red waveband. NPV indicates non-photosynthetic vegetation.
Figure 72. IKONOS change vector product illustrating detection of dirt road development and vegetation growth. The change product background image is the IKONOS red waveband. NPV indicates non-photosynthetic vegetation

Executive Summary

Wildlife habitat is a natural resource that is increasing in its intrinsic and economic value to humans. This is particularly true in southern California where rapid population growth and urban expansion have reduced the areal extent and quality of wildlife habitat. Preserve systems are currently being developed to protect key portions of the remaining natural habitat. The success of these preserve systems will rely in part on the ability to monitor habitat quality within these landscapes over time.

The primary objective of this NASA Food and Fiber Applications of Remote Sensing (FFARS) study has been to develop, test, and transfer remote sensing and other geo-spatial technologies for monitoring the amount, condition and quality of wildlife habitat within reserve systems being established throughout southern California. The focus has been on the utility of multitemporal, multispectral digital image data captured at very-high resolution (VHR), (0.5 to 5 m spatial resolution), in visible and near infrared (V/NIR) wavebands for monitoring habitat condition and disturbance effects on Mediterranean-type shrublands and riparian zones.

Reliable and low-cost monitoring of land cover changes as small as a meter, over areal extents greater than 10 km² is one of the most difficult challenges in remote sensing, particularly for landscapes having substantial variability in terrain relief. Thus, an important research focus was the assessment of acquisition and processing requirements for producing high fidelity. multitemporal image data sets. This was particularly the case for airborne digital data that provide VHR imagery with a great amount of flexibility in time of acquisition, but can have highly variable geometric and radiometric characteristics between images captured over time. Our results show that the key factors for efficiently monitoring detailed changes in land surface cover and form are: (1) careful planning and execution of airborne image data collection and (2) precise image registration. The timing of repetitive imaging should be controlled to minimize differences in earth-sun-sensor geometry (e.g., same solar time, anniversary dates). This serves to minimize radiometric differences in multitemporal data sets. An integrated hardware-software system for planning and triggering capture of digital images enables frame locations to be matched over time. Frame matched image data sets help to minimize radiometric variability, but even more importantly, geometric variations from differences in earth-sun-sensor geometry. Frame-matched multitemporal images have similar geometric distortion patterns. This facilitates precise registration using simple image warping transformations based on semi-automatic control point generation. Less critical is date-to-date normalization of image radiometry, which can be adequately achieved through a histogram matching process that preserves the shapes of the original image histograms. Use of calibration panels with varying and known reflectance is also effective and feasible when normalizing image data sets with meter-scale spatial resolution. Several procedures that were developed through the project will contribute substantially to operational production of high fidelity, multitemporal image data sets.

A second research focus was on the utility of VHR multispectral image data for mapping trail disturbance features and quantifying indicators of habitat quality, such as bare ground cover and shrub cover. Relationships between image-derived spectral indices and field-measured cover

fractions were assessed. Spectral indices were found to explain up to 60% of the variation in bare ground and shrub cover, depending upon the index. In addition, minimum trail widths detectable using VHR multispectral imagery were investigated. In general, trail with widths on the order of a quarter to half of the sensor ground sampling distance were detectable, depending upon the linearity of the trail feature and the target-to-background contrast.

The other major research focus was change detection analysis with VHR multispectral data, in the context of detecting and identifying disturbance and recovery features, and changes in habitat quality. Such analyses were conducted for both airborne and satellite image datasets. Most of the research focused on refinements of per-pixel change analysis, because of the small size (in at least one spatial dimension) of important disturbance features (e.g., trails).

Multiple methods for detecting land cover/habitat quality change using VHR multispectral imagery were tested during the project. These included rapid, efficient, and largely automated methods of change detection such as multidate image overlay compositing, image differencing, and classification of difference images based upon signed difference magnitude thresholds, above or below which highlighted features are considered to represent real land cover change. These products were generated using multidate image digital number (DN) values, spectral vegetation indices (SVIs), spatial pattern indices (SPIs), and fraction images derived through spectral mixture analysis (SMA).

In addition to these largely automated change detection approaches, change vector classification techniques requiring interactive operator involvement were assessed. The change vector classification approach to change detection provided the greatest utility in terms of identifying and labeling land cover changes. Land cover changes ranged in size from a few square meters to several hectares. Detected features generally included localized changes in soil and vegetation condition and exposure, as well as natural variations in vegetation phenology. Interpretation of the change detection results in conjunction with visual inspection of the multitemporal imagery enabled identification of specific change types, such as: vegetation disturbance and associated soil exposure increase, shrub removal, urban edge clearing/maintenance, vegetation cover increase, invasive plant sprawl, fire scar and subsequent recovery, erosional scouring, trail and road development, and expansion of BMX disturbance areas.

Remotely sensed image and derived data products will provide valuable and relevant information for monitoring habitat condition and change. We prescribe a prototype monitoring system based on the premise that the most effective means for assessing the quality of habitat across southern California preserves is to detect changes in vegetation properties at three monitoring scales. Image data with 30 m spatial resolution will be most cost-effective for monitoring at the regional level (~5000 km²). Image data with I to 5 m spatial resolution from commercial satellites should be utilized for monitoring of sub-regional reserve systems (~1000 km²). Habitat changes can be quantified based on changes in image-derived measures over time, resulting in maps depicting "hot spots" of likely habitat change. Even more detailed (0.5 to 1 m resolution) commercially-available imagery from digital cameras mounted on light aircraft could be captured and analyzed

NASA Project ID NAG13-99017 01/28/03

for areas determined from the regional and sub-regional level monitoring to be "hot spots" of change.

Several projects are currently underway that represent significant steps toward implementing a remote sensing-based monitoring system for southern California preserves. The goal of establishing a fully operational monitoring system for NCCP preserves is close to being realized at the level of the individual preserve. Full implementation across regional and subregional preserve systems will require government mandates or strong incentives for active and continuous monitoring. In addition, participation, cooperation, and cost sharing on the part of the agencies and reserve managers will be necessary, if image-based monitoring programs are to become operational. Reduction of satellite/aerial image acquisition and processing costs will further increase the likelihood of widespread image-based habitat monitoring programs. The tools and techniques developed through this project should also be effective for other vegetation and land cover monitoring applications requiring high spatial detail.